



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,264	786,264 02/25/2004		Robert A. Boger	P1415US02	2426	
32709 Gateway In	7590 C	04/25/2007		EXAMINER		
Patent Attor	ney		CHOW, JEFFREY J			
PO Box 200 N. Sioux Ci	ox 2000 oux City, SD 57049			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2628		
			•			
		•	·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/786,264	BOGER, ROBERT A.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jeffrey J. Chow	2628			

Jeffrey J. Chow

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
REPLY FILED 10 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which

THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  $\boxtimes$  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 

5. The proposed amendment(s) nied after a linar rejection, but prior to the date of hing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔯 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>35 U.S.C 112(1) rejections regarding to claims 38 - 45.</u>
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🔯 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔯 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37,39,41,43 and 45</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
The efficient of other evidence fled effect of the best of the date of fline a Netice of Anneal will not be and and

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

<u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

<b>12</b> .	Note the attached	Information	Disclosure :	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	
12	Other:						

ULKA J. CHAUHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the modification of Song's et al. (US 6,046,721) system with Mastranoradi's (US 2002/0126141) and Hallberg's (US 6,417,867) systems would destroy the desired functionality of Song as a multi-function monitor that combines television and computer display circuits (page 15). The modification made onto Song's system would not destroy the functionality of Song's system as the modification would enhance and/or add to Song's system. This does not take away the functionality of Song's system as it is still there.

Applicant argues that no motivation is provided to modify Song's system with York's (US 5,850,340) system (page 16). The motivation is that it would be easier for the user to control the television from the computer rather than to leave the computer's input devices and retrieve the television's remote control or use the television's control buttons.

Applicant argues that the modification of Song's system with York's system would destroy the desired functionality of Song as a multifunction monitor that combines television and computer display circuits (page 16). The modification made onto Song's system would not destroy the functionality of Song's system as the modification would enhance and/or add to Song's system. This does not take away the functionality of Song's system as it is still there.